

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/630,788	Applicant(s) KUO, WEN-JEN	
	Examiner John J. Vrablik	Art Unit 3748	

All Participants:

(1) John J. Vrablik.

(2) Bruce H. Troxell.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 November 2004

Time: 2:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner stated that claim 1 would be allowed if antecedent basis were recited for "the frame" in line 14. Attorney authorized an examiner's amendment to claim 1, line 7, adding antecedent basis for "the frame".

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)